

**ITEM 13. TENDER - MAYBANKE RECREATION CENTRE STAIR, RAMP  
ACCESS UPGRADE AND LOWER COURT REFURBISHMENT**

**FILE NO: S121723**

**TENDER NO: 1524**

**SUMMARY**

This report provides details of the tenders received for Maybanke Recreation Centre stair, ramp access upgrade and lower court refurbishment.

The Maybanke Recreation Centre, comprising two outdoor courts, a lower court adjoining Harris Street and the top court, is managed from the Pymont Community Centre sport and recreation facilities located on the corner of John Street and Mount Street.

The City of Sydney is proposing to upgrade the pedestrian access thoroughfares, including the replacement of the ramp, stairs and decking, while also addressing drainage and resurfacing to the lower court.

This report recommends that Council accept the tender offer of Tenderer 'A' for Maybanke Recreation Centre stair, ramp access upgrade and lower court refurbishment.

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council accept the tender offer of Tenderer 'A' for Maybanke Recreation Centre stair, ramp access upgrade and lower court refurbishment; and
- (B) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate, execute and administer the contract relating to the tender.

**ATTACHMENTS**

**Attachment A:** Tender Evaluation Summary (Confidential)

**(As Attachment A is confidential, it will be circulated separately from the agenda paper and to Councillors and relevant senior staff only.)**

**BACKGROUND**

1. The City of Sydney currently owns and manages Maybanke Recreation Centre located at 87-97 Harris Street, Pyrmont. The facility provides a range of community services to the city residents, workers, students and visitors.
2. An upgrade to the existing timber stairs, ramp and decking is required to provide a compliant and safe environment for users.
3. The lower basketball court suffers drainage issues causing water penetration and surface delamination. As a result, the lower basketball court requires resurfacing.

**INVITATION TO TENDER**

4. The tender was advertised in The Daily Telegraph, The Sydney Morning Herald and on Council's E-tender website 23 July 2015 and closed on 13 August 2015.

**TENDER SUBMISSIONS**

5. Four submissions were received from the following organisations:
  - Cooper Commercial Constructions Pty Ltd
  - Niche Construction Management Pty Ltd
  - Protek Australia Pty
  - Quality Management Constructions Pty Ltd T/As QMC Group
6. One late submission was received.

**TENDER EVALUATION**

7. All members of the Tender Evaluation Panel have signed Pecuniary Interest Declarations. No pecuniary interests were noted.
8. The relative ranking of tenders as determined from the total weighted score is provided in the confidential Tender Evaluation Summary – Attachment A.
9. All submissions were assessed in accordance with the approved evaluation criteria being:
  - (a) experience in work of a similar nature;
  - (b) specified personnel and sub-contractors;
  - (c) proposed program;
  - (d) proposed methodology, environmental management and site management plan;
  - (e) materials and warranties;
  - (f) Workplace Health & Safety;
  - (g) financial and commercial trading integrity including insurances; and

- (h) lump sum price and schedule of prices.

**PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT**

10. The City will monitor key performance indicators to measure the performance level of the successful contractor during the works. Performance results will be used to determine the suitability of contractors for future works.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

11. There are sufficient funds allocated for this project within the current year's capital works budget.

**RELEVANT LEGISLATION**

12. The tender has been conducted in accordance with the Local Government Act 1993, the Local Government (General) Regulation 2005 and the City's Contracts Policy.
13. Attachment A contains confidential commercial information of the tenderers and details of Council's tender evaluation and contingencies which, if disclosed, would:
- (a) confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom Council is conducting (or proposes to conduct) business; and
  - (b) prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it.
14. Discussion of the matter in an open meeting would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest because it would compromise Council's ability to negotiate fairly and commercially to achieve the best outcome for its ratepayers.

**CRITICAL DATES / TIME FRAMES**

15. The works are to meet the following dates:
- |                             |               |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| (a) Appoint head contractor | October 2015  |
| (b) Completion of works     | December 2015 |

**PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

16. No public consultation on this project has been undertaken; however, subject to Council approval, the communication strategy will be implemented, including notification letter (100m perimeter of facility), notice on three project boards and web update.

**AMIT CHANAN**

Director City Projects and Property

Emma Strachan, Acting Operations Coordinator